

No	Comment / Inputs received	Category (Select from the List)	Will this comment/input lead to an amendment in the business plan? Yes / No	If no, provide reasons	If yes, provide detail	Any additional information
1	We formally demand that a proper and targeted effort be undertaken by The Steering Committee to reach each and every individual Cannon Island property owner by physical, hand-delivered drop off of a brief information leaflet as well as a Cannon Island District specific voting process to determine whether the majority of Cannon Island-property owner's even want to be included within the boundaries of the proposed Marina South CID or not before this process moves any further forward.	Boundary of the CID	No	<p>In terms of the CID By-law, 2023 and the City's CID Policy, the process for establishing a CID requires that a geographically representative Steering Committee prepare a Business Plan and conduct an Urban Management Survey across the proposed area. Property owners are engaged through public meetings, information is emailed, placed on different social media platforms, and notices distributed via multiple channels, ensuring transparency and accessibility. It should be noted that prior to the first Public Participation Meeting, there was a lot of discussion within the Cannon Island community containing misinformation about the CID. We therefore invited all Cannon Island/Admirals Walk homeowners to attend a targeted focus group information session on 20th February 2025 just for members of this community, where we outlined the process.</p> <p>Importantly, the legislation requires a minimum of 60% written consent from all rateable property owners within the proposed boundary, not separate sub-areas, for an application to be considered valid. The City cannot deviate from this uniform process by conducting separate or parallel voting processes for specific precincts, as this would fall outside the legal framework of the By-law and Policy. That said, the Steering Committee will continue proactive communication, including social media distribution and targeted engagements, to ensure all Cannon Island property owners are fully informed and able to exercise their right to support or oppose the initiative. Every property owner's written consent (or objection) is recorded and forms part of the City's evaluation when determining whether the statutory consent threshold has been met.</p> <p>We trust this clarifies the formal process and ensures your participation is respected within the approved legal framework.</p>		

2	<p>We formally insist that the boundaries be redrawn / extended to include the following 12 properties (both commercial and residential) as per the City of Cape Town Municipal Plan as they will directly and wholly benefit - even MORE so than the Cannon Island District as a whole - from the proposed security additions. They should contribute equitably to the obvious security benefits they will receive.</p>	Boundary of the CID	No	<p>The CID By-law (2023) and CID Policy are very clear on boundaries:</p> <p>Schedule 1 (Business Plan Content) requires that the geographical area be described and depicted with a boundary map. Section 25/26 of the By-law prohibits splitting or cherry-picking areas — the boundary must be logical, contiguous, and supported by the 60% consent threshold. The City's CID Policy allows for boundary amendments or expansions in future, but only through a formal process (steering committee decision, new surveys, new consents, and new approval).</p> <p>The proposed boundaries of the Marina South CID were determined in line with the CID By-law, 2023 and the City's CID Policy, based on a contiguous and manageable area with clear community support. At present, this includes the area already covered by existing safety initiatives and voluntary contributions, demonstrating readiness to transition into a formal CID structure. Properties on the east side of Eastlake Drive are not included in this application, as they do not currently form part of the geographical area under consideration by the Steering Committee.</p> <p>In terms of the By-law and Policy, boundary extensions or amalgamations can be proposed in future through a separate, formal application process, provided that the required consent thresholds are achieved. This ensures fairness, legal compliance, and that all affected property owners are consulted and engaged as required by legislation.</p>		
3	<p>So it appears that Battleridge and Cannon Island will receive a REDUCED camera service but pay MORE monthly to fund Fisherman's Quay/Tower Quay/Eastlake Drive district who currently has 7 cameras according to Deep Blue and which will be BOOSTED to 15 cameras according to the Business Plan - which I'm presuming will be cameras along Eastlake Drive where the Steering Committee excluded the whole of the one side of the road from the CID boundary. While we do see the fringe benefit to Cannon Island by making Eastlake Drive more secure, it must be equally paid for by the residents on both sides of Eastlake Drive which should then marginally lower the CID Fee burden on the rest of the proposed CID as per Objection 2.</p>	Public Safety	Yes		<p>The budget for an increased number of cameras will remain as proposed. However, in order to provide increased and equitable camera coverage for the properties within the CID boundaries, a comprehensive review of the location of cameras will be carried out prior to drawing up the required Tender Specifications.</p>	

4	<p>The rate in the Rand provided in the draft budget, based on the value of our home, actually means we will be obliged to pay R392.15 (Incl. VAT) for the CID. What this practically means is that some residents may need to cancel their private home armed response to pay for the CID as with the existing COCT property rates along with the rates, water, electricity etc etc increases next year from COCT not everyone who lives in the proposed CID area have unlimited funds and actually work within defined budgets. So this should be explained to property owners upfront and they should not be given vague assurances that are factually incorrect.</p>	Financial Impact	No	<p>While it is correct that some property owners currently paying towards camera coverage may pay more, others will pay less. It is also correct that the additional CID rate levied will be based on property value.</p> <p>It is also important to note that the CID process replaces the reliance on voluntary contributions to the camera and response system, where people can and sometimes do, stop paying as and when they feel like it. This jeopardises the viability of the cameras, which could be removed by the service provider due to insufficient funding and serves as a more sustainable option.</p> <p>The CID system automatically collects monthly contributions and enrolls new home owners.</p>		
5	<p>The draft Business Plan acknowledges that Cannon Island is unique in its natural geography as an island and not as a major thoroughfare as Battleridge/The Row and Fishermans/Tower Quay are. As Cannon Island has one way in, one way out and is surrounded by water, why then does Cannon Island need to be within the boundaries of the MarinaSouthCID?</p>	Boundary of the CID	No	<p>While Cannon Island is unique in its geography, the CID By-law (2023) and the City's CID Policy require that a proposed CID boundary be drawn as a logical and contiguous area. Excluding certain pockets could undermine the sustainability and fairness of the CID model. Effective and affordable service delivery — particularly in relation to safety and public space management — depends on the economy of scale that a unified boundary provides. Cannon Island property owners will therefore benefit from the same enhanced safety, cleansing, and maintenance services as their neighbouring precincts, and their inclusion ensures equitable contribution across the whole area.</p> <p>Importantly, every Cannon Island property owner retains their legal right to consent or object through the statutory 60% property-owner support process, which is the mechanism provided by law to determine inclusion.</p>		
6	<p>The Proposed Business Plan touts regular armed patrols in branded cars, with officers wearing branded uniforms along with monitored street and park cameras - we already have all of this - so what additional benefits would Cannon Island as part of a CID get that we don't already have? In addition, as a private armed response client - I fully expect my security provider to be doing street patrols past my house any way regardless of whether we are in a CID or not — that's part of the armed response and monitoring service we pay for.</p>	Public Safety	No	<p>Private Armed Response does cover incidents on private property, but not the monitoring of public areas. Basically it means if one's home alarm is activated, or if the resident sends a distress call, the security service will respond to that particular home. The CCTV cameras monitor the streets and are there to prevent any problems being moved from the street on to private property. Improved street security requires CCTV coverage and monitoring in order to respond to suspicious incidents in the public areas. This functions to reduce crime before it has a chance to take place.</p> <p>The MSCID proposes to expand the CCTV coverage and increase the effective monitoring of cameras. This cannot be achieved through voluntary and variable contributions and requires the enhanced and consistent funding which the creation of MSCID will provide.</p>		

7	Have the Guardians explored other alternatives to a CID? Do the Guardians or Deep Blue have a system in place on Cannon Island that identifies and approaches new property owners and existing non-contributing property owners to explain the scheme and encourage them to contribute to the cameras without going through an admin-heavy, penalty-heavy process such as a CID?	Public Safety	No	<p>The Security Guardians was a group of volunteer residents who met monthly to share information about security in Marina da Gama as a whole. It was attended by anyone concerned with security in the area, including volunteers trying to keep their precincts safe by knocking on doors and asking residents to voluntarily contribute to CCTV cameras.</p> <p>While the Guardians no longer exist in that form, the individuals are still active in their communities and make contact with residents old and new to try and raise enough contributions to keep the CCTV cameras and response to street incidents running. This process is onerous and unfeasible.</p> <p>A CID replaces the process of voluntary contributions to street security, thereby assuring the consistent funding required.</p>		
8	Please confirm that It is fact that Deep Blue will not automatically be handed the contract as the preferred security provider but will be required to pitch for the business along with other security service providers in a competitive tender process.	Management	No	A open and transparent competitive tender process will be utilised for the appointment of the preferred security provider.		
9	Membership of the SAPS Muizenberg Forum - Does the MDGA, and Deep Blue, not already belong to the SAPS Muizenberg Forum? And if so, how would a proposed CID be privy to any further information than they are? In other words, should that information from MDGA and Deep Blue, not be disseminated to residents anyway regardless of whether they are in a CID or not?	Public Safety	No	Direct participation in the Community Police Forum enables focus on issues specific to the MSCID area to be raised. It also avoids the situation where, in terms of POPIA and security requirements, some information regarding crime should not be disseminated.		
10	Extra 4 Municipal Bins - you don't need to be a CID to request additional municipal bins or the servicing of those bins. That is what residents pay for in their rates. Why would we need to pay for supplementary services through the CID for something we should be receiving as part of our rates?	Maintenance and Cleaning	No	The CID will advise on the preferred location of extra bins and will assist in placing litter in the bins.		
11	The same can be said for the proposed Business Plan touting its reporting capabilities through the COCT C3 system - why do we need a CID to report issues/faults through this system when any rate payer can do it themselves at a touch of a button on their own device? The response should be the same whether a CID is reporting a fault or an individual home owner is reporting a fault. That's what our rates pay for.	Maintenance and Cleaning	No	Yes, anyone can report issues via the C3 system, but many people don't do so. The CID will play an active role in doing so and will have direct access to the City Departments to ensure quick and efficient responses.		

12	What specific tasks will the proposed supplementary gardener/gardening services be undertaking that the MDGA and COCT don't already provide? And which specific areas in the Cannon Island District have been earmarked for this service? (Other than the parks please - as these are already managed by Andie and MDGA).	Implementation Plan	No	<p>The tasks will include Planting and Maintenance of POS areas in co-operation with MDGA and CoCT.</p> <p>This is currently being done on an unassisted voluntary basis which the CID will be able to assist with.</p> <p>We will be using a local NPO to provide indigenous plants as and when needed.</p>		
13	And surely the MDGA is already registered as an Interested & Affected Party (I&AP) with the various civil society bodies? What will the CID be able to achieve that the MDGA can't?	Other	No	Additional eyes, ears and contributions can play a positive role.		
14	Point 12.3 Refers: Please provide specific projects on what the proposed environmental upgrading budget of R30,000 in the first year will be spent on.	Environmental Development	No	<p>There are NGOs in our neighbouring communities that focus on developing gardening skills and propagating indigenous plants and we intend to source plants from them. We propose to contract such NPO/s.</p> <p>We propose to appoint gardening services to enhance the aesthetics of the area, which will be done through an open, transparent, and fair procurement process. The relationship will be formalised through a Service Level Agreement. The objective would be to further upskill people thereby improving their work possibilities, whilst at the same time improving the common areas of the CID. They will wear a branded MSCID bib. The associated costs are those of the gardening services. The services provided by the MSCID will be supplementary to those services currently provided by both the MDGA and the City of Cape Town.</p>		
15	Point 13.2 Refers. Please provide specifics on what the proposed social upliftment budget of R30,000 in the first year will be spent on.	Social Responsibility	No	Social Development will be supported by the CID partnering with an NGO to implement the environmental initiatives via NGOs in our neighbouring communities. The relationship will be formalised through an SLA.		
16	Point 15.1 Refers: General Expenditure of 13.8%. What specifically will fall under this category? What specifically will this be spent on?	Financial Impact	No	We have made a provision of 13.8% of the budget for the following: Advertising Costs (R14,000), Auditor's remuneration (R20,000), Bank Charges (R2,000), Computer Expenses (R6,000), Contingencies/Sundries (R29,000) for things like signage, plus branded jackets (R29,000), Insurance (R6,000), and Secretarial Duties (R6,000).		
17	Please confirm that the formation of this CID requires the approval of 60% of all home owners covered in the designated area?	Implementation Plan	No	60% consent is required to submit an application to Council. Council makes the final approval. By consenting you are not approving the CID establishment, only for the application to be sent to Council.		

18	It is my view that the current (excessive) fixed levies (electricity/water/cleaning) now being charged by CoCT, plus the levies being charged by MDGA, should be more than sufficient to cover the requirements/scope of what has been stated as the purpose of the Marina South CID.	Financial Impact	No	<p>Everything provided for in the proposed MSCID Budget is supplementary to, not a duplication of, that of MDGA or CoCT.</p> <p>While the levies from MDGA do cover LPR cameras all entrances to Marina da Gama, they do not pay for the CCTV cameras required in each street. These are paid for on a voluntary basis at the whim of residents whether to contribute or not. This puts the whole system of CCTV cameras at risk of being underfunded and therefore unviable for the security provider to continue operating them.</p>		
19	I was one of the 4 residents who initially met with Deep Blue to implement security via Deep Blue in this designated area. It should be noted, contrary to what was stated in the meeting on 13 August 2025, this Guardians group comprising of residents in this area, was disbanded due to MDGA assuming this responsibility.	Public Safety	No	<p>The Security Guardians was a group of volunteer residents who met monthly to share information about security in Marina da Gama as a whole. It was attended by anyone concerned with security in the area, including volunteers trying to keep their precincts safe by knocking on doors and asking residents to voluntarily contribute to CCTV cameras.</p> <p>While the Guardians no longer exist in that form, the individuals are still active in their communities and make contact with residents old and new to try and raise enough contributions to keep the CCTV cameras and response to street incidents running. This process is onerous and unfeasible.</p> <p>A CID replaces the process of voluntary contributions to street security, thereby assuring the consistent funding required.</p>		
20	<p>During the most recent meeting held to discuss the proposed CID, the steering committee advised that the proposed area was decided upon solely by having regard to the households that are currently paying towards security.</p> <p>The proposed delineation of the CID has no rational connection with any of the projects proposed for the CID.</p> <p>Only the western side of East Lake Drive is to be incorporated, absurdly excluding the Western businesses who the steering committee failed/refused to approach.</p>	Boundary of the CID	Yes		<p>The current cameras are funded by contributions from only some of the property owners in the area (e.g as has been raised by a property owner, 49% of Cannon Island home owners decline to contribute).</p> <p>The proposed boundaries of the CID match the current camera coverage of the Marina South area and is currently funded by voluntary contributions which indicates support for Safety initiatives. This is not the case for properties on the East side of Eastlake Drive, which could hamper efforts to create Marina South CID.</p>	

	No thought is given to the enormous differences in security needs that separate the boundaries of the CID at Battleridge and Eastlake Drive, when compared with the cul-de-sacs that make up the remainder of the CID. While the cul-de-sacs are located on islands and cannot be readily transversed by the public, Eastlake and Battleridge are busy thoroughfares and have entirely different security needs. The stated reason for insisting that all residents be compelled to pay towards security is entirely undermined by their insistence that only one side of Eastlake Drive should contribute towards security. This completely contradictory and illogical delineation, demonstrates that the steering committee, failed to apply their mind to the delineation of the CID: and /or that there is no rational connection between the proposed boundaries and the purpose for which the CID is proposed.				This could change in future and those properties be included in a possible amalgamation of CIDs in the Marina da Gama. Improved Security requires more than cameras. The service we propose is increased monitoring of cameras and response to suspicious incidents in the public areas. We believe that this will reduce crime before it has a chance to take place. However, increased funding is required in order to achieve this. The budget for an increased number of cameras will remain as proposed. However, in order to provide increased and equitable camera coverage for the properties within the CID boundaries, a comprehensive review of the location of cameras will be carried out prior to drawing up the required Tender Specifications.	
21	Some residents on the water have taken to installing flashing LED strip lights (multi-coloured and multiple conflicting frequencies) which flash all night over the estuary. There is normally a ramp up before Christmas but some are still going from last year. Without any restriction from the CID or City bylaw against this kind of light pollution, my concern is that it will become a precedent and a trend with 3 major areas of concern: 1 Impact on residents and visitor's ability to sleep, or to enjoy the marina without invasive flashing lights dominating the unique vista every evening. 2 Impact on sensitive bird life in the trees around the affected area. 3 Impact on endangered fish species in the waterway being constantly bombarded with flashing multi coloured lights throughout the night. (Please see attached report)	Other	No	This is a Standards issue which has been referred to the MDGA		
22	I am generally in favour of forming this CID but I would like to know that the concerns I have about the general security of those of us with properties on the green belt side of Battle Ridge would be looked into with care and given as much consideration (and budget) as the other parts of the proposed CID. I am sending you this email as no mention was made of this in any of the presentations and I would like this to be noted as my concern.	Public Safety	Yes		The budget for an increased number of cameras will remain as proposed. However, in order to provide increased and equitable camera coverage for the properties within the CID boundaries, a comprehensive review of the location of cameras will be carried out prior to drawing up the required Tender Specifications. This will take into account the needs of the southern boundary of Battleridge properties.	
23	I would like to be excluded from the South CID as I think that it is not a good initiative. Being on the outside edge of the mapped out area I think that it would be in line with the by laws in getting excluded. I am not interested in this initiative as I think that it is totally unfair the way it is calculated on the value of the property and I don't think that we need this type of initiative in our area of the Marina.	Boundary of the CID	No	The CID by law and Policy governing the CIDs establishment states that the boundary of the CID must be contiguous. This precludes properties not at the extreme end of Cannon Island Way from being excluded.		

24	Who decided on the members of the committee? We are not aware of any requests for residents or business owners who may have wanted to volunteer to serve on the committee.	Other	No	At the first restart meeting of the Guardians (the previously active Marina security committee), it was decided to explore the possibility of creating a CID in the Marina South area. Subsequently, in terms of the City's CID By-law and Policy, a geographically representative group of property owners within Marina South volunteered to form a Steering Committee. Additional property owners may still volunteer to join.		
25	As queried at the meeting, the boundary drawn up along East Lake Drive should be moved to the existing natural boundary of the area which is Prince George Drive. This would include the commercial properties and the housing complexes.	Boundary of the CID	No	The proposed boundaries of the CID match the current camera coverage of the Marina South area and is currently funded by voluntary contributions which indicates support for Safety initiatives. This is not matched by properties on the East side of Eastlake Drive, which could hamper efforts to create Marina South CID. This could change in future and those properties be included in a possible amalgamation of CIDs in the Marina da Gama.		
26	To instantly refuse to investigate combining 5 CIDs into one Marina CID, saying that it would never work is again a defensive response.	Boundary of the CID	No	As explained at the meeting by David-John Michael-Steyn from the City – When Park Island started investigating forming a CID, the CCT queried why the whole of Marina da Gama was not included. However, since then, two CIDs (Eastlake Island & Park Island CID) have been approved and are operational. In future, they can combine via the expansion process outlined in the CID Bylaw and CID policy, but the decision does not rest with this committee.		
27	If the proposal has any chance of succeeding, the steering committee and the COCT to give proper consideration to all public comments and suggestions.	Implementation Plan	No	All comments are considered and answered.		
28	If the intention of the CID security plan is to provide comprehensive CCTV coverage for the complete designated CID area, then the CID steering committee must conduct a security survey of the complete designated CID area and consult with the owners/residents of Battleridge regarding their security needs. The southern boundary of Battleridge, which borders on a field behind Muizenberg High School, is very problematic and poses a huge security risk. The fences and gates on the eastern and western side of this area has been vandalized and is in a state of disrepair. Currently there is a CCTV camera at each end, but it has not been very effective.	Boundary of the CID	Yes		While increased and equitable camera coverage is planned for the properties within the CID boundaries, it should be noted that the final allocation of cameras will be determined in drawing up the required Tender Specifications. We will take into account the needs of the southern boundary of Battleridge.	

29	I am concerned that the proposed application and draft business plan is favoring the FQ precinct at the expense of the other precincts as the steering committee has not engaged with the other precincts regarding their specific security needs and requirements.	Financial Impact	Yes		<p>The Fisherman's Quay/ Tower Quay and Eastlake Drive (facing FQ) has been a model of success in making this crescent safe and secure, purely through the concerted efforts of just a few individuals who have knocked on doors to ask homeowners and tenants to contribute to CCTV.</p> <p>Nevertheless, all contributions to CCTV are voluntary and because less than 50% of residents pay, the continued CCTV service is in jeopardy.</p> <p>This is the case in the other precincts of MSCID and a review of all camera coverage within the CID boundaries will be carried out to ensure the safety and security budget meets the needs of the whole area designated within MSCID.</p>	
30	Although I understand that our Private Security is our choice, so we will not change from Deep Blue, it would be very sensible and makes logistical sense - and we would be very happy - if the tender for Public Security went to them as well - I also know you don't have any control over the Tender process - just my comment.	Other	No	An open and competitive tender process will be utilised for the appointment of the preferred security provider.		
31	I attended your Inaugural Meeting last evening, Wednesday 13 August 2025 and would like to thank all those responsible for initiating this project and for all the hard work put into launching it last night. Thank you too, to the Cape Town Municipal Representative who attended and provided much valuable information and advice.	Other	No	The positive comment is appreciated		
32	A CID will only succeed if its boundaries are perceived as fair and logical. Starting with an arrangement that excludes obvious beneficiaries, while including properties that already enjoy strong security, risks generating opposition that could destabilise the CID over time or even result in it not gaining the 60% consent required for its formation.	Boundary of the CID	No	<p>The proposed boundaries of the CID match the current camera coverage of the Marina South area and is currently funded by voluntary contributions which indicates support for Safety initiatives. This is not the case for properties on the East side of Eastlake Drive, which could hamper efforts to create Marina South CID.</p> <p>This could change in future and those properties be included in a possible amalgamation of CIDs in the Marina da Gama.</p> <p>Improved Security requires more than cameras. The service we propose is increased monitoring of cameras and response to suspicious incidents in the public areas. We believe that this will reduce crime before it has a chance to take place. However, increased funding is required in order to achieve this.</p>		

33	<p>The budget shows annual increases for both general expenditure and higher rates. That means a compounding increase of 14% per annum on household CID fees. The result will be an escalation in monthly CID fees far in excess may expect, based on current municipal valuations.</p> <p>Fees should not be based on municipal valuations. A flat fee, subject only to reasonable annual increases below CPI, would be fairer. And it should be applied to a less ambitious, and less expensive, set of proposed benefits.</p>	Financial Impact	No	<p>Firstly, while the new general valuation will affect normal rates, it will not alter the special rate contribution which remain as per the approved five year CID budget.</p> <p>Secondly, a current owner of a property valued at R3 million, for example, would pay the CID special rate of R392.15 incl VAT which replaces the R 396.85 currently paying for camera coverage.</p>		
34	<p>A CID in my view is a way for the City to abdicate responsibility it has to rate payers to provide services that the rate payers already pay for.</p> <p>Your documentation is disingenuous at best in providing a clear picture to residents of how these fees for the CID along with the new budget approach taken by the city of Cape Town will impact rates and services fees over the next 10 years.</p>	Financial Impact	No	<p>The budget for an increased number of cameras will remain as proposed. However, in order to provide increased and equitable camera coverage for the properties within the CID boundaries, a comprehensive review of the location of cameras will be carried out prior to drawing up the required Tender Specifications.</p> <p>This will take into account the needs of the southern boundary of Battleridge properties.</p>		
35	<p>Retraction of Precedent – The claimed precedent unfairly favours wealthier waterfront properties and does not regard the nature of properties in the broader township development whom could be adversely affected by the expansion of existing CIDs or new CIDs.</p>	Financial Impact	No	<p>In the CID establishment and renewal process is governed strictly by the applicable CID By-law and Policy framework. In terms of this framework, each CID application is assessed on its own merits, including compliance with legislative requirements, financial sustainability, public participation outcomes, and the support thresholds prescribed within the policy and by-law. The establishment or expansion of a CID does not create an automatic precedent applicable to other areas, as each application is subject to its own independent assessment process and Council approval. Furthermore, the CID process includes prescribed objection and participation mechanisms to ensure that affected property owners are afforded an opportunity to provide input during the statutory process . All properties are treated equally with financial contributions directly related to property values.</p>		
36	<p>Public Place (PP or POS) – Any person has the right to Public Place in a township. Is the gating of PP in the Marina lawful, let alone legal?</p>	Other	No	<p>No Public Places are planned to be gated by the propose CID. All parks and slipways have public access. The MdGA Excom is engaged with the City to regularise the problem of alleyways that have been gated.</p>		

37	Public Place (PP or POS) – How has the “general public” been proactively informed and engaged about the CIDs in the Marina da Gama?	Implementation Plan	No	<p>In terms of the CID By-law and CID Policy, the statutory public participation process primarily requires engagement with affected property owners within the proposed CID area, as these property owners are directly impacted through the additional rate levy mechanism associated with the CID establishment process.</p> <p>In compliance with the prescribed legal framework, the required public participation and notification processes were undertaken, including notices, public meetings, stakeholder engagement opportunities, and the consent and objection process as required by the CID By-law and Policy. Two Public Meetings were held, both advertised the the local community newspaper and via posters in the local business centre.</p>		
38	Policing Public Spaces – What is the liability of the CIDs in particular harm to “any person” of whatsoever nature or cause in Public Places?	Public Safety	No	<p>In terms of the CID By-law and CID Policy framework, a CID is established to provide supplementary services within a defined geographical area, which may include public safety support initiatives, urban management, cleansing, and social intervention programmes. These services are supplementary in nature and do not replace or assume the statutory powers, duties, or obligations of the South African Police Service, the City, or any other competent authority responsible for law enforcement and public safety functions.</p> <p>The implementation of public safety initiatives by a CID is undertaken in accordance with applicable legislation, service level agreements, operational protocols, and governance requirements applicable to CID operations.</p> <p>Furthermore, liability matters relating to harm or damages arising within public spaces are regulated by the applicable legal framework, contractual arrangements, and common law principles, and cannot be interpreted as being automatically attributable to the existence or operation of a CID. The CIDs attract no extra legal liability for working of public spaces</p>		
39	The Guardians – Nameless, unelected, with questionable motives, lack of accountability with curious origins and incentives	Public Safety	No	The Guardians have no connection with MSCID and in any case no longer exist.		
40	Canals and Waterways – Are the CIDs anticipating charging special levies for water maintenance?	Maintenance and Cleaning	No	Canals and Waterways remain the responsibility of the City.		
41	The Marina da Gama Association – Why is a SRA (CID) not a replacement for the Association?	Other	No	The MdGA and MSCID are completely separate organisations with different functions		
42	Conflicts of Interest – Have the applicants and volunteers for the proposed MSCID declared their conflicts of interest?	Management	No	The City of Cape closely monitors the formation of CIDs to ensure all legal requirements are met and have not identified any conflicts of interest.		

43	Approval of CID – Where can the completed forms of approval be accessed by the public for independent validation of a CID including original forms of approval.	Other	No	These forms are subject to POPIA. The MSCID is following the official approval process which is closely monitored by the City of Cape Town. This included examining the Consent and Objection forms submitted by affected residents.		
44	What funds flow from the respective CIDs to the MdGA?	Implementation Plan	No	No funds flow from the MSCID to MdGA		
45	What are the MSCID Business Plan benefit activities	Implementation Plan	No	These are detailed in the UMS survey and Budget		